

October 29, 2007

Charles L.A. Terreni Chief Clerk and Administrator South Carolina Public Service Commission Post Office Drawer 11649 Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Re: Carolina Power & Light Company d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.

Power Plant Performance Report (September 2007)

Docket No. 2006-224-E

Dear Mr. Terreni:

Enclosed are an original and one copy of the Power Plant Performance Report for Carolina Power & Light Company d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc. for the month of September 2007.

Sincerely,

s/ Len S. Anthony

Len S. Anthony Deputy General Counsel – Regulatory Affairs

LSA/dhs Enclosures 45612

c: John Flitter (ORS)

The following units had no off-line outages during the month of September:

Brunswick Unit 2 Robinson Unit 2 Mayo Unit 1 Roxboro Unit 4

- A. <u>Duration:</u> The unit was taken out of service at 1:36 on September 8, and returned to service at 13:19 on September 22, a duration of 347 hours and 43 minutes.
- B. Cause: Planned Service Water System Inspection
- C. <u>Explanation</u>: During routine inspections, several pieces of rubber material were found in the 1B Residual Heat Removal (RHR) room cooler. Investigation revealed that the material was likely from a degraded Service Water valve seal. The unit was removed from service to inspect the suspect Service Water valves and ensure all rubber material was recovered and removed from the system.
- D. <u>Corrective Action:</u> Service water valves were inspected and the defective valve was identified and repaired. Rubber valve seal material was removed from the system. While the unit was out of service, other repairs were completed including steam leak and reactor recirculation pump flow switch repairs, and replacement of reactor feedwater pump lube oil motors. These, and other maintenance activities, were done in parallel with the Service Water activities and did not lengthen the outage. These repairs were made to maximize the capability during the remainder of the operating cycle.

### Full Forced Outage

- A. <u>Duration:</u> The unit experienced an automatic trip from approximately 30% power at 22:32 on September 28 while preparing to shutdown for the scheduled refueling outage. The duration of the forced outage was 1 hour and 28 minutes. The scheduled refueling outage was scheduled to begin at 0:00 on September 29.
- B. Cause: Start-Up Transformer Trip
- C. <u>Explanation</u>: While the unit was ramping down in preparation for the scheduled refueling outage, a fault pressure trip signal was received on one of two start-up transformers which resulted in an automatic trip. Investigation revealed that a pressure sensor mis-signaled causing a false transformer failure signal.
- D. <u>Corrective Action:</u> The pressure sensor on the start-up transformer was replaced. Refueling outage activities were not impacted nor delayed.

- A. <u>Duration</u>: The unit entered a scheduled refueling outage at 0:00 on September 29, and remained offline for the remainder of the month. The unit was offline 48 hours during the month due to the refueling outage.
- B: <u>Cause</u>: Scheduled Refueling Outage
- C: <u>Explanation</u>: The unit was taken out of service for a scheduled refueling outage, and outage activities continued into the month of October. In addition to refueling, required maintenance and inspections are being out during the outage.
- D: Corrective Action: Planned outage activities were in progress at the end of September.

- A. <u>Duration:</u> The unit was taken out of service at 23:49 on September 22, and returned to service at 13:33 on September 27, a duration of 109 hours and 44 minutes.
- B. <u>Cause:</u> Inspection of Flue Gas Desulfurization System
- C. <u>Explanation</u>: The unit was taken offline to conduct inspections of the flue gas desulfurization system components.
- D. <u>Corrective Action:</u> Upon completion of the flue gas desulfurization system inspections, the unit was returned to service.

- A. <u>Duration:</u> The unit was taken out of service at 23:35 on September 15, and returned to service at 18:25 on September 17, a duration of 42 hours and 50 minutes.
- B. Cause: Main Steam Valves
- C. <u>Explanation</u>: The unit was taken out of service to inspect, and perform preventative and corrective maintenance on main steam by-pass valves and the 3A circulator discharge valve.
- D. <u>Corrective Action:</u> Upon completion of inspections and maintenance on the main steam valves, the unit was returned to service.

	Month of September 2007		Twelve Month	Twelve Month Summary		
MDC	938	MW	938	MW	1	
Period Hours	720	HOURS	8,760	HOURS		
Net Generation	334,252	MWH	7,894,023	MWH	2	
Capacity Factor	49.49	%	96.07	%		
Equivalent Availability	49.50	%	93.43	%		
Output Factor	95.72	%	101.51	%		
Heat Rate	10,857	BTU/KWH	10,347	BTU/KWH		
	MWH 	% of Possible	MWH 	% of Possible		
Full Scheduled	326,159	48.29	326,159	3.97	3	
Partial Scheduled	14,912	2.21	47,708	0.58	4	
Full Forced	0	0.00	114,389	1.39	5	
Partial Forced	37	0.01	40,555	0.49	6	
Economic Dispatch	0	0.00	0	0.00	7	
Possible MWH	675,360		8,216,880		8	

<sup>\*</sup> See 'Notes for Nuclear Units' filed with the January 2007 report.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Gross of Power Agency

	Month of September 2007		Twelve Month	Twelve Month Summary		
MDC	937	MW	937	MW	1	
Period Hours	720	HOURS	8,760	HOURS		
Net Generation	656,626	MWH	6,562,743	MWH	2	
Capacity Factor	97.33	%	79.95	%		
Equivalent Availability	96.93	%	79.31	%		
Output Factor	97.33	%	98.52	%		
Heat Rate	10,619	BTU/KWH	10,574	BTU/KWH		
	MWH 	% of Possible	MWH 	% of Possible		
Full Scheduled	0	0.00	1,040,570	12.68	3	
Partial Scheduled	20,719	3.07	111,734	1.36	4	
Full Forced	0	0.00	506,464	6.17	5	
Partial Forced	0	0.00	35,902	0.44	6	
Economic Dispatch	0	0.00	0	0.00	7	
Possible MWH	674,640		8,208,120		8	

<sup>\*</sup> See 'Notes for Nuclear Units' filed with the January 2007 report.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Gross of Power Agency

	Month of September 2007		Twelve Month	Twelve Month Summary		
MDC	900	MW	900	MW	1	
Period Hours	720	HOURS	8,760	HOURS		
Net Generation	600,239	MWH	7,969,960	MWH	2	
Capacity Factor	92.63	%	101.09	%		
Equivalent Availability	93.06	%	99.42	%		
Output Factor	99.46	%	101.66	%		
Heat Rate	11,053	BTU/KWH	10,814	BTU/KWH		
	MWH 	% of Possible	MWH 	% of Possible		
Full Scheduled	0	0.00	0	0.00	3	
Partial Scheduled	456	0.07	1,450	0.02	4	
Full Forced	44,520	6.87	44,520	0.56	5	
Partial Forced	2,784	0.43	13,832	0.18	6	
Economic Dispatch	0	0.00	0	0.00	7	
Possible MWH	648,000		7,884,000		8	

<sup>\*</sup> See 'Notes for Nuclear Units' filed with the January 2007 report.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Gross of Power Agency

Progress En	ergy Carolinas
Run Date	10/15/2007

# BASE LOAD POWER PLANT PERFORMANCE REPORT Robinson 2

Page 4

	Month of September 2007		Twelve Month	Summary	See Notes* 
MDC	710	MW	710	MW	1
Period Hours	720	HOURS	8,760	HOURS	
Net Generation	525,693	MWH	5,690,735	MWH	2
Capacity Factor	102.84	%	91.50	%	
Equivalent Availability	100.00	%	87.89	%	
Output Factor	102.84	%	103.14	%	
Heat Rate	10,923	BTU/KWH	10,815	BTU/KWH	
	MWH	% of Possible	MWH 	% of Possible	
Full Scheduled	0	0.00	628,586	10.11	3
Partial Scheduled	0	0.00	16,784	0.27	4
Full Forced	0	0.00	73,508	1.18	5
Partial Forced	0	0.00	28,172	0.45	6
Economic Dispatch	0	0.00	9,775	0.16	7
Possible MWH	511,200		6,219,600		8

<sup>\*</sup> See 'Notes for Nuclear Units' filed with the January 2007 report.

	Month of September 2007		Twelve Month	Twelve Month Summary		
MDC	741	MW	742	MW	1	
Period Hours	720	HOURS	8,760	HOURS		
Net Generation	391,113	MWH	4,594,206	MWH	2	
Capacity Factor	73.31	%	70.78	%		
Equivalent Availability	93.93	%	90.99	%		
Output Factor	73.31	%	75.41	%		
Heat Rate	10,419	BTU/KWH	10,440	BTU/KWH		
	MWH 	% of Possible	MWH 	% of Possible		
Full Scheduled	0	0.00	363,815	5.60	3	
Partial Scheduled	32,375	6.07	115,448	1.78	4	
Full Forced	0	0.00	35,457	0.55	5	
Partial Forced	0	0.00	70,417	1.08	6	
Economic Dispatch	110,032	20.62	1,320,653	20.32	7	
Possible MWH	533,520		6,499,920		8	

<sup>\*</sup> See 'Notes for Fossil Units' filed with the January 2007 report.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Gross of Power Agency

Progress En	ergy Carolinas
Run Date	10/15/2007

# BASE LOAD POWER PLANT PERFORMANCE REPORT Roxboro 2

Page 6

	Month of September 2007		Twelve Month	Twelve Month Summary		
MDC	639	MW	647	MW	1	
Period Hours	720	HOURS	8,760	HOURS		
Net Generation	367,125	MWH	4,318,197	MWH	2	
Capacity Factor	79.80	%	76.22	%		
Equivalent Availability	83.04	%	85.08	%		
Output Factor	94.14	%	87.73	%		
Heat Rate	9,186	BTU/KWH	9,274	BTU/KWH		
	MWH 	% of Possible	MWH 	% of Possible		
Full Scheduled	70,119	15.24	578,970	10.22	3	
Partial Scheduled	7,920	1.72	168,123	2.97	4	
Full Forced	0	0.00	73,017	1.29	5	
Partial Forced	0	0.00	17,160	0.30	6	
Economic Dispatch	14,916	3.24	527,361	9.31	7	
Possible MWH	460,080		5,665,530		8	

<sup>\*</sup> See 'Notes for Fossil Units' filed with the January 2007 report.

Progress En	ergy Carolinas
Run Date	10/15/2007

# BASE LOAD POWER PLANT PERFORMANCE REPORT Roxboro 3

Page 7

	Month of September 2007		Twelve Month	Summary	See Notes*
MDC	705	MW	706	MW	1
Period Hours	720	HOURS	8,760	HOURS	
Net Generation	372,740	MWH	3,799,102	MWH	2
Capacity Factor	73.43	%	61.47	%	
Equivalent Availability	93.26	%	77.80	%	
Output Factor	78.08	%	76.63	%	
Heat Rate	11,109	BTU/KWH	11,024	BTU/KWH	
	MWH 	% of Possible	MWH 	% of Possible	
Full Scheduled	30,197	5.95	1,097,956	17.77	3
Partial Scheduled	4,000	0.79	69,825	1.13	4
Full Forced	0	0.00	100,968	1.63	5
Partial Forced	30	0.01	105,416	1.71	6
Economic Dispatch	100,632	19.83	1,004,098	16.25	7
Possible MWH	507,600		6,180,180		8

<sup>\*</sup> See 'Notes for Fossil Units' filed with the January 2007 report.

	Month of September 2007		Twelve Month	Twelve Month Summary		
MDC	698	MW	699	MW	1	
Period Hours	720	HOURS	8,760	HOURS		
Net Generation	374,417	MWH	4,278,966	MWH	2	
Capacity Factor	74.50	%	69.93	%		
Equivalent Availability	99.67	%	97.06	%		
Output Factor	74.50	%	70.48	%		
Heat Rate	10,466	BTU/KWH	10,503	BTU/KWH		
	MWH 	% of Possible	MWH 	% of Possible		
Full Scheduled	0	0.00	39,728	0.65	3	
Partial Scheduled	0	0.00	116,099	1.90	4	
Full Forced	0	0.00	0	0.00	5	
Partial Forced	1,677	0.33	24,174	0.40	6	
Economic Dispatch	126,466	25.16	1,659,931	27.13	7	
Possible MWH	502,560		6,118,860		8	

<sup>\*</sup> See 'Notes for Fossil Units' filed with the January 2007 report.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Gross of Power Agency

Plant	Unit	Current MW Rating	January 2006 - December 2006	September 2007	January 2007 - September 2007
Asheville	1	197	72.44	80.33	59.79
Asheville	2	186	60.37	59.53	71.98
Cape Fear	5	144	72.32	83.90	79.13
Cape Fear	6	173	65.99	76.61	72.87
Lee	1	77	47.56	64.49	60.27
Lee	2	77	43.52	65.77	63.07
Lee	3	252	60.06	70.55	70.43
Mayo	1	741	67.04	73.31	70.43 71.65
Robinson	1	180	78.19	59.07	72.76
Roxboro	1	383	76.19 77.79	83.88	81.43
Roxboro	2	639	81.26	79.80	75.03
Roxboro	3	705	59.60	73.43	74.98
Roxboro	3 4	698	65.20	73.43 74.50	74.96 72.57
	-	97	44.30		
Sutton	1	97 106		60.62	59.80
Sutton	2 3		46.43	50.01	63.82
Sutton		403	54.54	62.74	53.52
Weatherspoon	1	49	36.15	63.87	55.43
Weatherspoon	2	49	37.40	66.40	57.58
Weatherspoon	3	79	50.52	70.58	68.11
Fossil System Total		5,235	65.25	72.65	70.74
Brunswick	1	938	87.51	49.49	93.86
Brunswick	2	937	89.68	97.33	82.32
Harris	1	900	89.16	92.63	100.39
Robinson Nuclear	2	710	103.59	102.84	87.70
	_		.00.00	.02.0 .	00
Nuclear System Total		3,485	91.80	84.36	91.19
Total System		8,720	75.80	77.33	78.91

### Amended SC Fuel Rule Related to Nuclear Operations

There shall be a rebuttable presumption that an electrical utility made every reasonable effort to minimize cost associated with the operation of its nuclear generation system if the utility achieved a net capacity factor of  $\geq$  92.5% during the 12 month period under review. For the test period April 1, 2007 through September 30, 2007, actual period to date performance is summarized below:

Period to Date: April 1, 2007 to September 30, 2007

#### Nuclear System Capacity Factor Calculation (Based on net generation)

A Nuclear system actual generation for SCPSC test period	$A = \frac{1}{2}$	13,734,888 MWH
B. Total number of hours during SCPSC test period	B =	4,392 hours
C. Nuclear system MDC during SCPSC test period (see page 2)	C =	3,485 MW
D. Reasonable nuclear system reductions (see page 2)	D=	1,711,096 MWH
1 00 F 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		# 100 100 00/

A. SC Fuel Case nuclear system capacity factor: [(A + D) / (B + C)] \* 100 = 100.9%

#### NOTE:

If Line Item E > 92.5%, presumption of utility's minimum cost of operation. If Line Item E < 92.5%, utility has burden of proof of reasonable operations.

## Amended SC Fuel Rule Nuclear System Capacity Factor Calculation Reasonable Nuclear System Reductions

Period to Date: April 1, 2007 to September 30, 2007

Nuclear Unit Name and Designation	BNP Unit # 1	BNP Unit # 2	HNP Unit # 1	RNP Unit # 2	Nuclear System
Unit MDC	938 MW	937 MW	900 MW	710 MW	3,485 MW
Reasonable refueling otuage time (MWH)	0	392,521	0	628,587	
Reasonable maintenance, repair, and equipment replacement outage time (MWH)	475,593	19,291	44,520	34,707	
Reasonable coast down power reductions (MWH)	0	0	0	6,195	
Reasonable power ascension power reductions (MWH)	31,774	30,849	0	22,063	
Prudent NRC required testing outages (MWH)	3,377	6,967	456	0	
SCPSC identified outages not directly under utility control (MWH)	0	0	0	0	
Acts of Nature reductions (MWH)	0	0	0	14,196	
Reasonable nuclear reduction due to low system load (MWH)	0	0	0	0	
Unit total excluded MWH	510,744	449,628	44,976	705,748	
Total reasonable outage time exclusions [carry to Page 1, Line D]					1,711,096